IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10057 OF 2004

Urmila S. Patil ...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mrs. Suresh S. Pakale for Petitioner
Smt.M.Thakur, A.G.P. for Respondents 1,4 & 5
Mr.Vinod Jadhav for Respondents 2 & 3
Mr. V.B.Rajure for Respondent 6

CORAM: H.L.GOKHALE &
SMT.R.S.DALVI,JJ.
DATED: 21ST JULY, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Pakale in support of this Petition. Mr. Rajure appears for Respondent No.6 and Mr. Jadhav appears for Respondent No.2 Management. Mrs. Thakur, A.G.P. appears for Respondent Nos.1,4 & 5. In the affidavit filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2 it is stated in paragraph 3 that the Petitioner is already working on regular pay scale and that her salary is more than that of the Respondent No.6. Mr. Jadhav states that from the date of filing of the Petition, Petitioner is being paid and will be paid the salaries as per the scale. That is specifically stated in paragraph 5 of the reply. In view of this reply the Petitioner has no further grievance to agitate. Mr. Pakale does not press the Petition. The Respondent No.2 will fix the Petitioner in the pay

scale 7225-11050, after considering her service from her initial appointment.

2. The Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(H.L.GOKHALE,J.)

(SMT.R.S.DALVI,J.)